

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/568,812	Applicant(s) BODZAK, STANISLAW	
	Examiner TODD D. JACOBS	Art Unit 3746	

All Participants:

(1) TODD D. JACOBS.

(2) JULIE KROLIKOWSKI.

Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 May 2010

Time: noon

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was first shown to applicant that there may be allowable subject matter if limitations were claimed appropriately and if 112 issues were overcome. It became clear that there were too many errors for an examiner's amendment and it was further unclear as to how the applicant wanted to word such an amendment. Therefore, the idea of the examiner's amendment was abandoned. Still, applicant gave examiner insight into how to interpret various USC 112 issues and examiner thoroughly discussed how applicant can overcome the prior art for the next submission..